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## (Non)-Linear Integer Programming

The problem is:

$$
\min / \max \left\{f(x): A x=b, \quad 1 \leq x \leq u, x \text { in } Z^{n}\right\}
$$

with data:
A: integer $m \times n$ matrix
b: right-hand side in $Z^{m}$
I, u: lower and upper bounds in $Z^{n} \quad f$ : function from $Z^{n}$ to $R$

It has generic modeling power and numerous applications

## (Non)-Linear Integer Programming

The problem is: $\min / \max \left\{f(x): A x=b, \quad 1 \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n}\right\}$ with data:
A: integer $m \times n$ matrix
b: right-hand side in $Z^{m}$
I, u: lower and upper bounds in $Z^{n}$
f: function from $Z^{n}$ to $R$

## Generic Example: Multiway Tables

Consider (Non)-linear minimization over $1 \times m \times n$ tables with given line sums:

It is the integer programming problem:

$\min \left\{f(x): \sum_{i} x_{i, j, k}=a_{j, k}, \sum_{j} x_{i, j, k}=b_{i, k}, \Sigma_{k} x_{i, j, k}=c_{i, j}, x \geq 0, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{1 \times m \times n}\right\}$

## (Non)-Linear Integer Programming

The problem is: $\min / \max \left\{f(x): A x=b, \quad 1 \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n}\right\}$
with data:
A: integer $m \times n$ matrix
b: right-hand side in $Z^{m}$
I,u: lower and upper bounds in $Z^{n}$
f: function from $Z^{n}$ to $R$

It has generic modeling power and numerous applications
Unfortunately, even with $f(x)=w x$ linear, it is typically NP-hard
In fixed dimension it is polytime solvable, but often quite limited

We develop new theory enabling polytime solution of broad, natural, universal (non)-linear integer programs in variable dimension

## Graver Bases

## and

Nonlinear Integer Programming

## Graver Bases

The Graver basis of an integer matrix $A$ is the finite set $G(A)$ of conformal-minimal nonzero integer vectors $\times$ satisfying $A \times=0$.
( $x$ is conformal to $y$ if in same orthant and $\left|x_{i}\right| \leq\left|y_{i}\right|$ for all i)

Example: Consider $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$. Then $G(A)$ consists of
circuits: $\pm(2-10), \pm(10-1), \pm(01-2)$ non-circuits: $\pm\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1\end{array}\right)$

Connection to Grobner bases: the set of binomials corresponding to $G(A)$,

$$
U G B(A):=\left\{x^{v^{+}}-x^{v^{-}}: v \operatorname{in} G(A)\right\}
$$

forms a universal Grobner basis for the binomial (toric) ideal of $A$.
Example: for $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$ it is $\operatorname{UGB}(A)=\left\{x_{1}{ }^{2}-x_{2}, x_{1}-x_{3}, x_{2}-x_{3}{ }^{2}, x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2}\right\}$

# Six Theorems on <br> (Non)-Linear Integer Programming 

Theorem 1: linear optimization in polytime with $G(A)$ :

$$
\max \left\{w x: A x=b, \quad 1 \leq x \leq u, x \text { in } Z^{n}\right\}
$$

Reference: N-fold integer programming (De Loera, Hemmecke, Onn, Weismantel) Discrete Optimization (Volume in memory of George Dantzig), 2008

## Six Theorems on <br> (Non)-Linear Integer Programming

Theorem 2: weighted convex maximization in polytime with $G(A)$ :

$$
\max \left\{f(W x): A x=b, \quad I \leq x \leq u, x \text { in } Z^{n}\right\}
$$

where $W$ is $d x n$ matrix and $f$ convex function on $Z^{d}$ (balancing d linear criteria or player utilities $W_{i} x$ )

Reference: Convex integer maximization via Graver bases (De Loera, Hemmecke, Onn, Rothblum, Weismantel) Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 2009

# Six Theorems on <br> (Non)-Linear Integer Programming 

Theorem 3: separable convex minimization in polytime with $G(A)$ :

$$
\min \left\{\Sigma f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right): A x=b, \quad 1 \leq x \leq u, x \operatorname{in} Z^{n}\right\}
$$

Reference: A polynomial oracle-time algorithm for convex integer minimization (Hemmecke, Onn, Weismantel) Mathematical Programming, to appear

# Six Theorems on <br> (Non)-Linear Integer Programming 

Theorem 4: integer point $I_{p}$-nearest to $x$ in polytime with $G(A)$ : $\min \left\{|x-x|_{p}: \quad A x=b, \quad 1 \leq x \leq u, \quad x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n}\right\}$

Reference: A polynomial oracle-time algorithm for convex integer minimization (Hemmecke, Onn, Weismantel) Mathematical Programming, to appear

## Six Theorems on (Non)-Linear Integer Programming

Theorem 5: quadratic minimization in polytime with $G(A)$ :

$$
\min \left\{x^{\top} V x: A x=b, \quad I \leq x \leq u, \quad x \operatorname{in} Z^{n}\right\}
$$

where $V$ lies in cone $K_{2}(A)$ of possibly indefinite matrices, enabling minimization of some convex and some non-convex quadratics.

Reference: The quadratic Graver cone, quadratic integer minimization \& extensions (Lee, Onn, Romanchuk, Weismantel), submitted

## Six Theorems on (Non)-Linear Integer Programming

Theorem 6: polynomial minimization in polytime with $G(A)$ : $\min \left\{p(x): A x=b, \quad \mid \leq x \leq u, x \operatorname{in} Z^{n}\right\}$
where $p$ is possibly indefinite polynomial of degree $d$ in cone $K_{d}(A)$, enabling minimization of some (non)-convex degree $d$ polynomials.

Reference: The quadratic Graver cone, quadratic integer minimization \& extensions (Lee, Onn, Romanchuk, Weismantel), submitted

## Some Proofs

## Proof of Theorem 3 (separable convex minimization)

Lemma 1: Any separable convex function $f$ on $R^{n}$ is supermodular, that is, for any vectors $g_{i}$ in the same orthant and any vector $x$, it satisfies

$$
f\left(x+\sum g_{i}\right)-f(x) \geq \sum\left(f\left(x+g_{i}\right)-f(x)\right)
$$

Lemma 2: For separable convex $f$, point $x$, bounds $I$, $u$ and direction $g$ in $R^{n}$, the following univariate integer program can be solved in polytime: $\min \{f(x+\alpha g): \quad 1 \leq x+\alpha g \leq u, \quad \alpha$ nonnegative integer $\}$


## Proof of Theorem 3 (separable convex minimization)



Solve $\min \left\{\sum f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right): A x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n}\right\}$ using the Graver basis $G(A)$, as follows:

1. Find initial point by auxiliary program

Using the supermodularity of $f$ from Lemma 1 and integer Caratheodory theorem get polynomial time convergence

Proof of Theorem 1: linear function $w x=\sum w_{i} x_{i}$ : special case of Theorem 3

## Proof of Theorem 2 (weighted convex maximization)

Lemma: Linear optimization over $S$ in $Z^{n}$ can be used to solve in polytime $\max \{f(W x): x$ in $S\}$
provided we are given a set $E$ of all edge-directions of conv(S)

set E of all edge-directions of conv(S)


Shmuel Onn

## Proof of Theorem 2 (weighted convex maximization)

Lemma: Linear optimization over $S$ in $Z^{n}$ can be used to solve in polytime $\max \{f(W x): x$ in $S\}$

Proof of Theorem 2:
Given $S:=\left\{x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n}: A x=b, I \leq x \leq u\right\}$ and the Graver basis $G(A)$, do:

1. Use the Graver basis as set $E:=G(A)$ of all edge-directions of conv(S)
2. Use $G(A)$ for linear-optimization over $S$ via Theorem 1
3. Apply Lemma for weighted convex maximization, repeatedly using 2.

## N-Fold Integer Programming

## N-Fold Products

The $n$-fold product of an $(r, s) \times \dagger$ bimatrix $A$
is the following $(r+n s) \times n t$ matrix:

$$
A^{(n)}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{1} & A_{1} & A_{1} & \cdots & A_{1} \\
A_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{n}
$$

## Graver Bases of N-Fold Products

Lemma: For fixed $A$, can compute in polytime the Graver basis $G\left(A^{(n)}\right)$ of

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{(n)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{1} & A_{1} & A_{1} & \cdots & A_{1} \\
A_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The proof uses finiteness results of
Aoki-Takemura, Santos-Sturmfels, Hosten-Sullivant

## (Non)-Linear N-Fold Integer Programming

Theorem: we can solve each of the following in polynomial time:
linear optimization: $\max \left\{w x: A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$
weighted convex maximization: $\max \left\{f(W x): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$
separable convex minimization: $\min \left\{\sum f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{(n)}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{1} & A_{1} & A_{1} & \cdots & A_{1} \\
A_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{n}
$$

References: Theory and applications of n-fold integer programming, 35 pages, IMA Volume on Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming, Springer, to appear

## (Non)-Linear N-Fold Integer Programming

Theorem: we can solve each of the following in polynomial time:
linear optimization: $\max \left\{w x: A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$
weighted convex maximization: $\max \left\{f(W x): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$
separable convex minimization: $\min \left\{\sum f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$

Proof: Use Lemma to construct in polytime the Graver base $G\left(A^{(n)}\right)$. Now apply and use Theorems 1-3 to optimize in polytime.

## (Non)-Linear N-Fold Integer Programming

Theorem: we can solve each of the following in polynomial time:
linear optimization: $\max \left\{w x: A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$
weighted convex maximization: $\max \left\{f(W x): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$
separable convex minimization: $\min \left\{\sum f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{n \dagger}\right\}$

With more work can also do weighted separable convex minimization:

$$
\min \left\{f\left(W^{(n)} x\right): A^{(n)} x=b, I \leq x \leq u, L \leq W^{(n)} x \leq U, x \text { in } Z^{n t}\right\}
$$

## Some Applications

## 1. Multiway Tables

Complexity of deciding the existence of I $\times m \times n$ tables with given line sums:

- I,m,n variable: NP-complete

Three dimensional matching, Karp, 1972


- I fixed, $m, n$ variable: Universal for IP (even with $1=3$ )

Part of my talk in previous Japan GB conference, De Loera, Onn, 2006

- I,m fixed, $n$ variable: Polytime

Consequence of linear n-fold IP, De Loera, Hemmecke, Onn, Weismantel, 2008

- I,m,n fixed: Polytime

Integer programming in fixed dimension, Lenstra, 1982

## 1. Multiway Tables

Much more generally, consider the multi-index transportation problem studied by Motzkin in 1952, of minimization over $m_{1} \times \cdots \times m_{k} \times n$ tables with given margins:


It is an $n$-fold program
$\min \left\{f(x): A^{(n)} x=b, x \geq 0, x\right.$ integer $\}$
for suitable A depending on $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ where:

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{(n)}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{1} & A_{1} & A_{1} & \cdots & A_{1} \\
A_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{n}
$$

- $A_{1}$ gives equations of margins summing over layers
- $A_{2}$ gives equations of margins summing within a single layer at a time


## 1. Multiway Tables

Much more generally, consider the multi-index transportation problem studied by Motzkin in 1952, of minimization over $m_{1} \times \cdots \times m_{k} \times n$ tables with given margins:


Corollary 1: (Non)-linear optimization over $m_{1} \times \cdots \times m_{k} \times n$ tables with given margins can be done in polynomial time

In contrast: Universality of three-way tables (De Loera, Onn): Every integer program is one over $3 \times m \times n$ tables with given line-sums

## 2. Privacy in Statistical Data Bases

## Common strategy in web disclosure of sensitive data: disclose margins but not table entries.

The security of an entry is then related to the set of values that it can take in all tables with the disclosed margins.

## 2. Privacy in Statistical Data Bases

Universality of Table Entries (My talk in previous Japan GB Conference):
Every finite set of nonnegative integers is the set of values in an entry of the $3 \times m \times n$ tables with some given line-sums

Example: the values occurring in the shaded entry in the tables with the given line-sums are precisely 0,2


## 2. Privacy in Statistical Data Bases

In contrast, the theory of $n$-fold integer programming yields:
Corollary 2: The set of values in any entry in all $m_{1} \times \cdots \times m_{k} \times n$ tables with any given margins can be computed in polytime

Proof: compute the true integer lower and upper bounds on the entry by solving the following two $n$-fold programs in polytime:
$L=\min x_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{k+1}$ over all tables with the given margins
$U=\max x_{i_{1} \ldots} i_{k+1}$ over all tables with the given margins
(note that the value is unique if and only if $L=U$ )

Incorporate bounds $L+1 \leq x_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k+1}} \leq U-1$ and repeat.

## 3. Multicommodity Flows

Find integer 1 -commodity flow $x$ from $m$ suppliers to $n$ consumers under supply, consumption and capacity constraints, of minimum possibly convex cost $f$ which accounts for channel congestion

It can be shown to be a (non)-linear $n$-fold integer program $\min \left\{f\left(W^{(n)} x\right): A^{(n)} x=\left(s^{i}, c^{j}\right), x \geq 0, W^{(n)} x \leq u, x\right.$ in $\left.Z^{m n l}\right\}$
consumers
$\operatorname{cost}: \sum_{i j} c_{i j}\left(\sum_{k} x_{i j k}\right)^{a_{i j}}$


## 3. Multicommodity Flows

Find integer 1 -commodity flow $x$ from $m$ suppliers to $n$ consumers under supply, consumption and capacity constraints, of minimum possibly convex cost $f$ which accounts for channel congestion

Corollary 3: For any fixed I commodities and $m$ suppliers, can find optimal multicommodity flow for $n$ consumers in polytime
$\operatorname{cost}: \sum_{i j} c_{i j}\left(\sum_{k} x_{i j k}\right)^{a_{i j}}$


## 4. Stochastic Integer Programming

In this important model, part of the data is random, and decisions are
in two stages - $x$ before and $y$ after the realization of random data:
where

$$
\min \left\{w x+E[c(x)]: x \geq 0, x \operatorname{in} Z^{r}\right\}
$$

$$
c(x)=\min \left\{u y: A_{1} x+A_{2} y=b, y \geq 0, y \text { in } z^{s}\right\}
$$

Suitably discretizing the sample space into $n$ scenarios, the problem becomes a transposed $n$-fold integer program.

While the Graver basis here cannot be computed in polytime, with some extra work we do get the following:

Corollary 4: Stochastic IP with $n$ scenarios can be solved in polytime

## Universality

## Universality of N-Fold Integer Programming

Consider the following special form of the $n$-fold product operator,

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{[\mathrm{n}]}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I & I & I & \cdots & I \\
A & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Consider such $m$-fold products of the $1 \times 3$ matrix $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$. For example,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{[3]}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Universality of N -Fold Integer Programming

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{[n]}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I & I & I & \cdots & I \\
A & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

Universality Theorem: Any bounded set $\{y$ integer: $B y=b, y \geq 0\}$ is in polynomial-time-computable coordinate-embedding-bijection with some

$$
\left\{x \text { integer : }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{[m][n]} x=a, x \geq 0\right\}
$$

Reference: All linear and integer programs are slim 3-way programs (De Loera, Onn) SIAM Journal on Optimization

## Universality of N -Fold Integer Programming

$$
\mathbf{A}^{[\mathrm{n}]}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I & I & I & \cdots & I \\
A & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

Universality Theorem: Any bounded set $\{y$ integer: $B y=b, y \geq 0\}$ is in polynomial-time-computable coordinate-embedding-bijection with some

$$
\left\{x \text { integer : }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{[m][n]} x=a, x \geq 0\right\}
$$

Scheme for Nonlinear Integer Programming: any integer program $\max \{f(y): B y=b, y \geq 0, y$ integer $\}$
can be lifted to:
$n$-fold program: $\max \left\{f(x):\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{[m][n]} x=a, x \geq 0, x\right.$ integer $\}$

## Epilogue:

Nonlinear Discrete Optimization

## Setup for Nonlinear Discrete Optimization

The problem is:

$$
\min / \max \{f(W x): x \text { in } S\}
$$

with $S$ set in $Z^{n}$, Winteger $d x n$ matrix, $f$ function on $Z^{d}$.

It can be interpreted as balancing $d$ criteria or player utilities $W_{i} x$ and enables determination of broad useful classes of triples S,W,f solvable efficiently (deterministically, randomly, or approximately)

## Setup for Nonlinear Discrete Optimization

The problem is:

$$
\min / \max \{f(W x): x \text { in } S\}
$$

with $S$ set in $Z^{n}$, W integer $d x n$ matrix, $f$ function on $Z^{d}$.

The presentation of $S$ induces two branches:

Integer Programming:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{x \text { in } Z^{n}: A(x) \leq 0\right\} \\
& \text { given by (non)-linear inequalities }
\end{aligned}
$$

Combinatorial Optimization:
$S$ in $\{0,1\}^{n}$
given compactly or by oracle

## Three Nonlinear Combinatorial Optimization Examples

The problem is:

$$
\min / \max \{f(W x): x \text { in } S\}
$$

Theorem A: For S matroid (e.g. trees, experimental designs) in polytime.
Berstein, Lee, Maruri-Aguilar, Onn, Riccomagno, Weismantel, Wynn, SIAM J. Disc. Math.
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## Three Nonlinear Combinatorial Optimization Examples

The problem is:

$$
\min / \max \{f(W x): x \text { in } S\}
$$

Theorem A: For S matroid (e.g. trees, experimental designs) in polytime.
Berstein, Lee, Maruri-Aguilar, Onn, Riccomagno, Weismantel, Wynn, SIAM J. Disc. Math.

Theorem B: For S matroid intersection in randomized polytime.
Berstein, Lee, Onn, Weismantel, Mathematical Programming, to appear

Theorem C: For S independence system, $d=1$, approximation in polytime.
Lee, Onn, Weismantel, SIAM J. Disc. Math.
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Comprehensive treatment is in my new monograph:

## Nonlinear Discrete Optimization: An Algorithmic Theory

Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society, 150 pages, to appear

> Based on my Nachdiplom Lectures delivered at ETH Zurich in Spring 2009 (preliminary notes are in my homepage)

