ML estimation in Gaussian graphical models

Caroline Uhler

Department of Statistics UC Berkeley

The Second CREST-SBM International Conference, Osaka June 28, 2010

Outline

- Background and setup:
 - Gaussian graphical models
 - Maximum likelihood estimation
- Existence of the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)
 - "Cone" problem
 - "Probability" problem
- ML degree of a graph
- ***** Example: $K_{2,m}$



Gaussian graphical models

- $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$:
 - G = ([m], E)
 - $\Sigma \in \mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0}$
 - $K := \Sigma^{-1} \in \mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0}$

undirected graph with $(\alpha, \alpha) \in E \quad \forall \alpha \in [m].$ covariance matrix on [m]

concentration matrix with $K \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathcal{G} := \{ M \in \mathbb{S}^m : M_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta) \notin E \}$$

• Gaussian graphical model: $\mathcal{G}_{\succ 0}^{-1} := \left\{ \Sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{\succ 0}^m : \Sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{G} \right\}$

Concentration matrices: $K = \Sigma^{-1}$

Covariance matrices: Σ



Gaussian graphical models

- $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$:
 - G = ([m], E)
 - $\Sigma \in \mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0}$
 - $K := \Sigma^{-1} \in \mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0}$

undirected graph with $(\alpha, \alpha) \in E \quad \forall \alpha \in [m].$ covariance matrix on [m]

concentration matrix with $K \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathcal{G} := \{ M \in \mathbb{S}^m : M_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta) \notin E \}$$

- Gaussian graphical model: $\mathcal{G}^{-1}_{\succ 0}:=ig\{\Sigma\in\mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0}\ :\ \Sigma^{-1}\in\mathcal{G}ig\}$
- Data:
 - $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$
 - $S := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i^T \in \mathbb{S}_{\geq 0}^m$ - $S_G := (S_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta)\in E}$

i.i.d samples from $\mathcal{N}_m(0, \Sigma)$, n < msample covariance matrix sufficient statistics

Concentration matrices: $K = \Sigma^{-1}$

Covariance matrices: Σ



Example $K_{2,3}$



The corresponding Gaussian graphical model consists of multivariate Gaussians with concentration matrix of the form

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & 0 & \lambda_{13} & \lambda_{14} & \lambda_{15} \\ 0 & \lambda_{22} & \lambda_{23} & \lambda_{24} & \lambda_{25} \\ \lambda_{13} & \lambda_{23} & \lambda_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{14} & \lambda_{24} & 0 & \lambda_{44} & 0 \\ \lambda_{15} & \lambda_{25} & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{55} \end{pmatrix}$$

→ Given a sample covariance matrix S, the sufficient statistics are $S_G = (S_{11}, S_{13}, S_{14}, S_{15}, S_{22}, S_{23}, S_{24}, S_{25}, S_{33}, S_{44}, S_{55}).$

Maximum likelihood estimation

Log-likelihood function:

 $\log \det(K) - \langle S, K \rangle = \log \det \left(\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in E} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\alpha\beta} \right) - \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in E} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\beta}$

Theorem *(regular exponential families)*: In a Gaussian graphical model the MLEs $\hat{\Sigma}$ and \hat{K} exist if and only if

 $\operatorname{fiber}_{G}(S) := \{ \Sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{\succ 0}^{m} \mid \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha\beta}, \ \forall (\alpha, \beta) \in E \} \neq \emptyset,$

i.e. S_G is PD-completable.

Then $\hat{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{G}_{\succ 0}^{-1}$ is uniquely determined by $\hat{\Sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta) \in E.$

Concentration matrices: K

Covariance matrices: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$



Cones

Cone of concentration matrices:

$$\mathcal{K}_G := \mathcal{G} \cap \mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0}$$
$$= \left\{ (\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta)\in E} \in \mathbb{R}^E : \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in E} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\alpha\beta} > 0 \right\}$$

Cone of sufficient statistics:

$$\mathcal{C}_G := \pi_G(\mathbb{S}^m_{\succ 0})$$

where $\pi_G : \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{S}^m / \mathcal{G}^\perp$ respectively $\pi_G : \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{R}^E, \quad S \mapsto S_G$

Concentration matrices: K

Covariance matrices: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$



Cones and maximum likelihood estimation

Theorem (Sturmfels & U., 2010):

 C_G is the convex dual to \mathcal{K}_G . Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{K}_G}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_G}$ are closed convex cones which are dual to each other with

 $\overline{\mathcal{K}_G} = \mathcal{G} \cap \mathbb{S}^m_{\succeq 0}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_G} = \pi_G(\mathbb{S}^m_{\succeq 0}).$

Theorem (exponential families):

The map

 $K \mapsto T = \pi_G(K^{-1})$

is a homeomorphism between \mathcal{K}_G and \mathcal{C}_G .

The inverse map $T \mapsto K$ takes the sufficient statistics to the MLE of the concentration matrix. Here, K^{-1} is the unique maximizer of the determinant over $\pi_G^{-1}(T) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\geq 0}^m$.

Concentration matrices: K

Covariance matrices: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$



Existence of MLE: 2 Problems

Given a graph G:

Under what conditions on S_G does the MLE exist? (i.e. describe C_G) **"Cone" problem**

- Under what conditions on $(n, (X_1, \ldots, X_n))$ does the MLE exist?
 - "Probability" problem

Problem 1: Example K_{2,3}





Problem 1: Example K_{2,3}



Problem 1: *K*_{2,*m*}



Corollary (U.): The MLE exists for S_G if and only if $\forall a \in \{1, 2\}, i, j \in \{3, \dots, m\}$ $2 \arccos(S_{a,i}) < \sum_{b=1,2} \arccos(S_{b,i}) + \sum_{b=1,2} \arccos(S_{b,j})$ $< 2\pi + 2 \arccos(S_{a,i})$

Existence of MLE: 2 Problems

Given a graph G:

Under what conditions on S_G does the MLE exist?

Under what conditions on $(n, (X_1, \ldots, X_n))$ does the MLE exist? And with what probability?

Probability of existence

Reminder:

MLE exists
$$\iff S_G \in \mathcal{C}_G$$

 $\mathbb{P}_X(MLE \text{ exists}) = \mathbb{P}_X(S_G \in \mathcal{C}_G)$





 $\mathsf{MLE\ exists} \iff S\ \mathrm{PD} \Longleftrightarrow X\ \mathrm{full\ rank}$

n < 3: MLE does not exist

 $n \geq 3$: MLE exists with probability 1

 $x_1, \ldots, x_n \sim \mathcal{N}_3(0, \Sigma)$

Probability of existence

Reminder: MLE exists $\iff S_G \in \mathcal{C}_G$ $\mathbb{P}_X(\text{MLE exists}) = \mathbb{P}_X(S_G \in \mathcal{C}_G)$

Note: Existence of the MLE is invariant under a) Rescaling: $X \to AX, S \to ASA$, where *A* is diagonal. b) Orthogonal transformation: $X \to XU, S \to XUU^T X^T = S$, where *U* is orthogonal.

→ Assume: $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ have length 1 and $x_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Problem 2: *K*_{2,*m*}



Theorem (U.):

The MLE exists on $K_{2,m}$ with probability 1 for $n \ge 3$ and does not exist for n = 1.

For n = 2 let $x_1, \ldots, x_{m+2} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ($x_1 = (1, 0), x_i = (\cos \omega_i, \sin \omega_i)$). The MLE exists if and only if x_3, \ldots, x_{m+2} lie between x_1 and x_2 or x_3, \ldots, x_{m+2} lie outside x_1 and x_2 . This happens with prob. $\in (0, 1)$.

ML-degree of a graph

The maximum likelihood degree of a statistical model is the number of complex solutions to the likelihood equations for generic data.

 Generic data: The number of solutions is a constant for all data, except possibly for a lower-dimensional subset of the data space.

ML-degree of a graph

Theorem (Sturmfels & U., 2010):

 ${\boldsymbol{G}}$ chordal if and only if

ML-degree(G) = 1.

Conjecture (Drton, Sullivant & Sturmfels, 2009): The ML-degree of an m-cycle C_m is given by ML-degree $(C_m) = (m-3)2^{m-2} + 1$.

Theorem (U.): The ML-degree of the bipartite graph $K_{2,m}$ is given by ML-degree $(K_{2,m}) = 2m + 1$.

- Sturmfels & U.: Multivariate Gaussians, semidefinite matrix completion, and convex algebraic geometry (AISM 62, 2010)
- U.: Maximum likelihood estimation in Gaussian graphical models (in progress)



 Malaspinas & U.: Detecting epistasis via Markov bases (arXiv:1006.4929)